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The Need for Sustainable School Feeding
According to the United Nations World Food Programme, one out of four children in developing countries are currently underweight; this presents at once an urgent need and a real challenge for countries everywhere to invest additional resources into creating and sustaining nutritious school feeding programs.

An increasingly common trend in school feeding is to transition from externally-owned and –operated school feeding programs to those that are country-owned and –operated. This is perhaps more necessary now than ever since donors from both the public and private sectors are experiencing drastic reductions in the amount of aid they are able to invest in other countries. In addition, when a country relies on their internal resources such as local smallholder farmers and civil society organizations to operate their unique school feeding programs, their economy is strengthened and thus a positive cycle of education and growth can be spurred. All of these factors work together to achieve the U.N.‘s Millennium Development Goals, the eight anti-poverty goals due for evaluation in 2015.

2013 Global Child Nutrition Forum
The 15th annual Global Child Nutrition Forum was held May 20-24, 2013 in Salvador, Brazil to explore the conference theme, “School Feeding as a National Investment: How to Achieve It.” More than 300 speakers, delegates—including twenty-two ministers from the Ministries of Education, Health, and Agriculture—and observers from national governments, international organizations, NGOs, the private sector and the research community attended the Forum. The Forum was co-hosted by the Global Child Nutrition Foundation (GCNF) and the World Food Program (WFP) Center of Excellence against Hunger, Brazil.

The Forum was launched with the School Feeding Toolkit workshop, in which African delegations shared current country issues spanning a variety of successes and challenges. Among the challenges identified throughout the Forum were, complexity, government support, community support, coordination, funding, technical expertise, and evidence. The workshop concluded with country teams working closely to create or modify existing country plans.

The proceedings from the plenary sessions during the remaining four days demonstrated that school feeding programs are in fact stimulating local economies, in addition to improving food security. Individual and panel presentations were supported by active dialogue from the audience, thus encouraging the open sharing of best practices. In addition, a daylong school feeding field trip to three different sites in the surrounding Salvador area, as well as an evening Market Place reception in which exhibitors showcased their goods and services in one of four areas within the school feeding supply chain, allowed attendees to better observe various school feeding models.

The Forum concluded with the presentation of individual Country Plans to advance school feeding in the upcoming school year and the presentation of a Communique.
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Forum Objectives

The primary objective of the 2013 Forum was to affirm school feeding as a national investment, which strengthens the interaction between social and economic development with stakeholders involved in the design and implementation of sustainable school feeding programs. These stakeholders involve public and private actors, who play important roles in supporting these programs.

To achieve these objectives, the Forum agenda focused on:

- Identifying the five pillars of sustainable school feeding programs:
  1. The legal and policy framework
  2. Financial capacity
  3. Institutional capacity and coordination
  4. Strong design and effective implementation
  5. Community participation and ownership

- Identifying the benefits from investing in school feeding
- Assisting countries in developing their plans for establishing a sustainable school feeding program
- Providing experience-based guidance and models for the development of sustainable national school feeding programs
- Developing partnerships among the smallholders’ farmers, governments, the private sector and stakeholders in adding value across the supply chain
- Identifying the importance of linking social safety nets to economic development through the development of a national school feeding program

Setting the Stage

The 2013 Global Child Nutrition Forum ("Forum"), held in Salvador, Brazil, on May 20–24, 2013, marked the 15th annual Forum and the first time it has been held in Latin America. The 2013 Forum was the largest Forum thus far, with representatives from 38 countries, including 22 ministers. There were also dozens of government officials, experts from the school feeding community, representatives from NGOs, and individuals and companies from the private sector. This Forum was co-hosted by the Global Child Nutrition Foundation and the World Food Programme Centre of Excellence against Hunger.

It was repeated throughout the Forum that 368 million children across the globe currently participate in school feeding, at a total investment of $75 billion USD. These figures are astounding and indicate the prominent role that school feeding plays and the progress that has been made. Yet even with that progress, much more needs to be done.
The Benefits of Investing in School Feeding

Throughout the Forum, the many benefits of school feeding were constantly reiterated. These benefits include:

- **Improved education.** School feeding programs have been shown to increase enrollment in schools, help produce lower dropout rates, help children concentrate better and produce better academic results, and lead to higher rates of school completion.
- **Improved health.** The nutrition provided through school feeding programs improves the health of children and reduces malnutrition.
- **Enhanced gender equality.** School feeding programs encourage girls to attend school and promote greater gender equality.
- **Catalyzing economic development among small-scale farmers.** School feeding programs, especially those that link local farms to schools, provide a predictable, stable market for farmers. This provides opportunities for farmers to grow their income, and helps create jobs throughout the entire school feeding supply chain. It brings investment to this supply chain and helps build infrastructure that benefits more than just schools.
- **Improved food security.** Countries with sustainable school feeding programs, where farmers are linked to schools, create an agricultural foundation that helps an entire country become more food secure.
- **Enhanced community development.** Communities that participate in school feeding programs (e.g., local PTA members) have a greater degree of community-wide collaboration, engagement, and social accountability. Community members also feel a greater responsibility for governance.
- **Greater national stability and growth.** All of the above benefits help an entire country become more stable and prosperous. Improved education, health, and economic development are beneficial to an entire nation.

Changing the Conversation

Traditionally, school feeding has been viewed as a social expenditure or charity sponsored by donors to help vulnerable children. Dr. Francisco Espejo, Chief of the School Feeding Service at the United Nations World Food Programme, shared the OECD definition of “social expenditure,” which is the provision by public (and/or private) institutions of benefits to, and financial contributions targeted at, households and individuals in order to provide support during circumstances that adversely affect their welfare.

The idea of an “investment” is very different. The definition shared by Dr. Espejo of a national investment is the sacrifice of current benefits or rewards to pursue an activity with expectations of greater future benefits or rewards. The key distinction between an expenditure and an investment is that an investment has an expectation of a future return.

A major theme of this Forum was the need to change the conversation so that school feeding is viewed as an investment with a positive social return, not as an expenditure. This involves moving from a mindset of “food aid” to one of “food assistance.”

“My government sees school feeding not as a waste of money, but as an investment. We have proven that our children will do much better in their studies if they are well fed while in school.”

– Hon. Jacques Wagner, Governor of Bahia, Brazil

Several speakers cited data indicating that every $1 invested in school feeding produces a social return of $3 to $8. However, other speakers argued that in order to attract more investors in school feeding, even further data is necessary to show that school feeding produces favorable and quantifiable social returns.
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The Five Pillars of Sustainable School Feeding Programs

The concept of school feeding is simple: the provision of food to school children. But in reality, school feeding programs are actually quite complex and difficult to implement. School feeding is multi-dimensional and multi-sectoral, and has dozens of interrelated yet separate dimensions, such as modality, scale, logistics, monitoring and quality control, and much more.

Despite the challenges, the complexity, and the many opportunities for improvement, in general, school feeding programs are working well and are delivering on many important objectives. Analysis of the key elements of successful school feeding programs has identified five pillars, all of which work together.

Pillar 1: The legal and policy framework.

Throughout the Forum, representatives from multiple countries described how school feeding programs began in their country in the 1950s or 60s or 70s or 80s. But the support for these programs experienced ebbs and flows based on the constantly changing priorities of government officials.

Where school feeding programs have been successful and sustainable, countries have adopted comprehensive legal and policy frameworks. Having a legal framework provides clarity and consistency, and makes school feeding programs less vulnerable to changes in political leadership. Such frameworks can also help countries deal with a crisis (as was the case in Mali) and return to normalcy.

Increasingly, supporters of school feeding recognize the importance of a legal and policy framework. Representatives from several countries described work that is underway to secure broad stakeholder support of adoption of
Pillar 2: Financial capacity.

To evolve from school feeding being viewed as a charitable donation, to school feeding being seen as a social investment, requires committed governments that are willing to lead this investment and make it “nationally owned.” For example, Gambia’s government, which currently funds just 5% of the country’s school feeding program, envisions funding 25% of the program by 2016 and 100% by 2020.

Arlene Mitchell, of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, believes that school feeding has the potential to be financially sustainable over the long term, and should be looked at as an investment for economic growth and transformation. Home-grown school feeding can help countries achieve educational, agricultural, and economic outcomes. Experience from countries such as India and China shows that agricultural growth has a significant impact in reducing poverty. When there are commitments to school feeding, it provides a large-scale predictable market. This market attracts private sector players, leads to investment in infrastructure, and produces jobs, profits, policy changes, and tax revenue.

However, while investments in school feeding have transformative potential, the economic growth from school feeding has not yet been realized or quantified. As a result of a lack of compelling evidence, potential investors have not yet made school feeding an investment priority. When the investment returns in school feeding can be proven, investors will become more interested.

Also, a way to achieve financial sustainability is to use those funds that are provided far more efficiently through greater focus on cost controls.

Pillar 3: Institutional capacity and coordination.

Dr. Lesley Drake of the Partnership for Child Development noted that there is no one-size-fits-all school feeding program. Each country needs to understand its own situation and challenges, and then create the appropriate institutional capacity for that situation.
Regardless of the specific solution, keys in building institutional capacity are strong governmental support and multi-sectoral collaboration. There must be high-level political support and cooperation across the ministries of education, health, and agriculture. There must be sub-national coordination among regional and local governments, NGOs, and the private sector. Also, there must be coordination and collaboration at the local level involving the school and the community.

In countries that have had success in building institutional capacity and creating sustainable school feeding programs, there has typically been a committee or task force composed of multiple stakeholders that guides the process. This committee brings stakeholders together, links all parties through communication, and creates a system for accountability. Such a committee makes a school feeding program “owned” by the country instead of owned by donors or NGOs.

Kent Holt from DuPont Nutrition and Health described capacity- and skill-building opportunities that provide benefits to communities. This includes building improved knowledge related to crop production, improving storage and transportation assets, strengthening the food distribution system, and developing knowledge about food safety.

### Pillar 4: Strong design and effective implementation.

Built on the foundation of the first three pillars—a legal and policy framework, financial capacity, and institutional capacity and coordination—is the need for strong program design and effective implementation. Bibi Giyose, NEPAD Senior Advisor for Food and Nutrition Security, offered several lessons related to design and implementation:

- Political will and real commitment through the provision of resources
- National policy—not government of the day
- A strong multi-sectoral coordination, with clarity of roles, contribution, and accountability; whoever coordinates must get all on board
- Clarity of objectives about what the program can deliver, along with prioritization
- Multi-initiative-multi-program approach—leverage synergies and resources
- Agenda setting by locals/countries as opposed to having an agenda imposed
- Have drivers and champions
- Human and technical capacity
- Strong monitoring and evaluation—corrective measures along the way
- Creating and accessing platforms for sharing lessons and learning
- Strong advocacy for school feeding

Other important aspects of a strong, sustainable design include linking school feeding to agricultural production by small-scale local farmers through home-grown school feeding, and having consistent nutritional standards. Dr. Janey Thorton of the U.S. Department of Agriculture cited multiple reasons for national nutritional standards: they should be based on evidence and deal with health-related issues such as malnutrition and obesity; they ensure that children have access to healthy food; they provide credibility and consistency; they help farmers know what to grow; and they save time since different regions and communities don’t need to investigate and develop their own standards.

### Pillar 5: Community participation and ownership.

Successful implementation of school feeding programs requires local ownership and community participation. Several speakers stressed the need for engagement of the community and ownership of implementation at the local level.

In Brazil, the National Food and Nutrition Security Council ensures that civil society is actively involved in formulating school feeding policies and program implementation. In Latin America and the Caribbean, LA-RAE helps community organizations by providing training in health, nutrition, and program management.

In summarizing the remarks of several speakers, Dr. Espejo observed that success is not the result of a single program. Success is the product of a broad umbrella of interventions that are tailored to a particular country’s unique situation.
Partnerships

A major theme from the Forum was the importance of partnerships. There need to be partnership between different parts of the government (for example, ministries of education, health, and agriculture); between national, provincial, and local governments; and between the public and private sectors. Representatives from several countries described long-term relationships with organizations like the World Food Programme.

An example of an organization that has focused on building public/private partnerships is Tetra Pak. The company has closely worked with governments around the world and has actively supported the development of the entire value chain. This includes linking dairy farmers to local dairy processors to schools, with school feeding providing the catalyst for developing parts of the food infrastructure.

Evidence and Resources

A recurring theme throughout this Forum was the need for greater evidence that demonstrates the benefits of school feeding. At the same time, several speakers described relevant evidence and mentioned information sources and resources. Examples of evidence and resources include:

- **Rethinking School Feeding.** This book by Donald Bundy and others was prepared in 2009 jointly by the World Bank Group and the World Food Programme. It examines the evidence base for school feeding programs with the objective of better understanding how to develop and implement effective school feeding programs.

- **State of School Feeding Worldwide 2013.** Produced by WFP, this is the first worldwide report on the state of school feeding. This report finds that 368 million children worldwide receive school feeding, with a total investment of $75 billion per year. Key findings include:
  - The provision of school feeding is lowest in low-income countries where it is needed most. The more vulnerable the children, the lower the percent of children participating in school feeding.
  - Cost/benefit analysis finds that every $1 invested in school feeding produces returns of $3 to $8.
  - There are big opportunities to improve the efficiency of school feeding programs. This resource provides data enabling countries to compare their costs against worldwide averages.

- The benefits of school feeding are significant. There is strong evidence showing educational benefits; there is currently a lack of evidence showing the benefits to local farmers; and evidence is being gathered about the overall societal benefits.

- This report shows that governments are starting to take greater ownership for school feeding, even in some low-income countries.

- The average cost of school feeding per child per year is $370 in high-income countries and $56 in medium- and low-income countries. The big difference is in the food basket provided.

- In high-income countries, school feeding represents 11% of the total educational budget; in low-income countries it accounts for 24% of the education budget.

- School feedback programs are stronger when they are institutionalized. About 80% of high-income countries have institutionalized school feeding through laws, standards, and frameworks compared to only about 30% of low-income countries.

- Donors remain critical. While worldwide they fund only about 2% of all school feeding programs, in low-income countries funding from donors represents 18% of funding.

- **Global Food Security Index (GFSI).** DuPont Nutrition and Health has worked with the Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) to develop the GFSI. The GFSI is a unique tool for measuring the many aspects of food security, generating insights to inform decision making, promoting collaboration, and stimulating action. This index measures the risks and factors that drive food insecurity using 25 indicators in three categories: affordability, availability, and quality and safety. Currently about 110 countries are included and more will be added when data becomes available. Initial insights include:
  - The most food-secure countries have an ample food supply, high income, low spending on food relative to other outlays, and significant investment in agricultural R&D.
feeding program, has been translated from English into multiple languages (Spanish, French, Portuguese, and Mandarin Chinese), and has been used by numerous countries across the globe to advance their school feeding programs. The toolkit can be used by national, regional, provincial, or local leaders, and is designed to involve all key stakeholders.

GCNF’s Penny McConnell and Stan Garnett explained the five steps of the School Feeding Toolkit.

• **Step 1: Country Goals and Objectives.** The first step is to define the specific goals and objectives in a country or a given geography. A good idea can be to establish targets for specific regions or schools. An important step in laying out goals and objectives is to define the key stakeholders, such as various ministries, teachers, and parents.

• **Step 2: Diagnostic Information.** This involves taking a hard and honest look at a school feeding program’s current state. This includes looking at school enrollment and participation in school feeding, assessing the infrastructure and institutional capacity, assessing how school feeding is funded, and other important measures of the current status of school feeding.

• **Step 3: Needs Assessment.** This involves assessing a country’s commitment to school feeding and its capacity in terms of institutional, organizational, and societal capacity. Penny McConnell described this as a country’s “will” and its “ability.”

• **Step 4: Country Plan.** Once a country has established goals, looked at its current situation, and assessed its needs, the next step is to develop a specific plan. It was repeatedly emphasized that there is no one-size-fits-all plan. The appropriate plan for a country will be based on its specific situation. This plan includes identifying the major actions that are necessary, what stakeholders need to be involved, what resources are necessary, and who is responsible, as well as timeliness and indicators of progress.

• **Step 5: Country Plan Revision and Feedback.** Country plans are never complete; they are in a constant state of evaluation, feedback, and revision.

---

**School Feeding Toolkit**

The School Feeding Toolkit, developed by GCNF and now in its third edition, is an instrument developed to help countries assess their situation and develop their plans to create a sustainable school feeding program. This toolkit is pliable and flexible, suitable for use at the national, regional, provincial, or local level. It is adaptable by any country, gives countries ownership over their school feeding program.
Key Themes from Country Reports

As part of the Forum, countries made brief presentations highlighting the key aspects of their country plan, indicating their most immediate priority upon returning home, and laying out where they could use assistance from GCNF and other NGOs. While each country’s situation and plan is different, common themes from these presentations are provided here.

Situation

Many countries originally initiated some type of school feeding program at some point during the 1960s, 1970s, or 1980s. These programs focused on the most vulnerable students, served only a small portion of the student population, may have been a pilot, and were usually funded and run by the WFP or other NGOs. These programs typically lacked government support and struggled to secure adequate funding to achieve greater scale.

Focus

In general, countries are interested in developing nationally owned school feeding programs that have government support as opposed to having to rely on organizations such as the WFP. Countries also want to boost economic development by linking school feeding to local farmers.

Most of the countries presenting are still early in developing sustainable school feeding programs. They are focused on creating policies and laws, securing government support for these policies, and gaining strong ongoing government commitment—which is often a slow, difficult process. In addition, countries are focused on conducting needs assessments, developing institutional capacity and infrastructure, and securing adequate funding.

Immediate Action

For many countries, the most immediate action upon returning home focused on forming some type of committee composed of multiple stakeholders. The purpose of such committees is to build strong support for school feeding among stakeholders and to work with the government in developing commitment to policies, laws, and financial support.

Also, country representatives plan to form partnership with public and private stakeholders across the education, health, and agricultural sectors.

Assistance

The most frequently mentioned assistance desired from GCNF and other NGOs is technical assistance in conducting a needs assessment and in developing and implementing a home-grown school feeding program. In addition to technical assistance, country representatives would like ongoing sharing of information and best practices.
Communiqué

At the conclusion of the 2013 Global Child Nutrition Forum, attendees reviewed, voted on, and approved the following Communiqué:

Considering:

1. That the Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes the right to food, education and health to everyone,
2. That—above everything else—children need to grow, learn and develop in the best possible conditions,
3. The high demand for learning from other countries’ experiences that have developed successful national school feeding programs and other social safety net systems,
4. That National School Feeding Programs are instrumental in promoting and supporting:
   4.1. The right to food
   4.2. The right to education
   4.3. Food and nutrition security
   4.4. Local development and economic growth
   4.5. Inter-sectoral cooperation and co-responsibility
   4.6. Job generation
   4.7. Gender enhancement and participation
   4.8. Parent, teacher and community participation
5. That National School Feeding is a program that fosters investment in human capital and social development—at least—through:
   5.1. Increasing participation in school
   5.2. Increasing school enrollment
   5.3. Improving learning capacity
   5.4. Decreasing drop out from schools
   5.5. Improving gender parity
   5.6. Preventing micronutrient deficiencies
   5.7. Transferring income to the family
   5.8. Improving the quality of life of the family
   5.9. Promoting access of small-scale farmers to formal markets

The participants of the 2013 Global Child Nutrition Forum make the following recommendations and commitments:

Recommendations

To promote School Feeding Programs as one of the key national investments that complement early child interventions to promote full child development.

Commitments

1) To promote sustainable and efficient school feeding programs, at the national level, through strengthening the following five pillars:
   a) Legal and Policy Framework
   b) Financial Capacity
   c) Institutional Capacity and Coordination
   d) Evidence-Informed Design and Implementation
   e) Community Ownership and Participation
2) To respond to the demand of countries for learning exchanges, fostering a global network for technical assistance and knowledge sharing with particular focus on South-South Cooperation and capacity development,
3) To promote the production of evidence of the outcomes of School Feeding Program as a national investment,
4) To promote the integration of School Feeding Programs with effective complementary interventions including sanitation, hygiene, deworming, micronutrient supplementation or fortification, and nutrition education,
5) To promote local production and procurement of nutritious, safe, diversified foods respecting local eating habits, so that small-scale farmers can access School Feeding markets,
6) To ensure the implementation of quality management of the whole food chain of School Feeding Programs,
7) To promote active community participation, encouraging social control and ownership of the program,
8) To collaborate with the Global Child Nutrition Foundation, the World Food Programme Centre of Excellence, and other partners to facilitate the realization of the above commitments.

Salvador, Bahia. 24th May, 2013
Participants

Dr. Nina Schlossman  
Global Food & Nutrition, USA  
nina@globalfoodandnutrition.com

Ms. Lara Fossi  
World Food Programme, Kenya  
Lara.Fossi@wfp.org

Mr. Gon Myers  
World Food Programme, Sierra Leone  
gon.myers@wfp.org

Mr. Felisberto de Barros Silva Moreira  
FICASE, Cape Verde  
felisberto.moreira@ficase.gov.cv

Ms. Patrizia Papinutti  
World Food Programme, Democratic Republic of Congo  
patrizia.papinutti@wfp.org

Mrs. Nicole Coglianese  
Global Food and Nutrition, USA  
nicole@globalfoodandnutrition.com

Dr. Bitakuya Dunia Pius  
Ministry of Agriculture and Development, Democratic Republic of Congo  
duniapio@yahoo.fr

Mrs. Madeleine Kapinga Ilunga  
Ministere de L’Enseignement Primaire Secondaire et Professionnel, Democratic Republic of Congo  
madeleine12345@hotmail.com

Prof. Craig George Gundersen  
University of Illinois, USA

Mr. Vinicius Arrais Limongi Miguel  
WFP Centre of Excellence against Hunger, Brazil  
vinicio.limongi@wfp.org

Ms. Cristina Pereira Murphy  
Centre of Excellence Against Hunger – WFP, USA  
cristina.murphy@wfp.org

Ms. Victoria Cavanagh  
World Food Programme, Zimbabwe  
victoria.cavanagh@wfp.org

Ms. Erika de Souza Oliveira  
Centre of Excellence Against Hunger – WFP, Brazil  
oliveira.es@gmail.com

Mr. Laxon Chinhengo  
Social Services Department

Ms. Erica Lynne Davies  
Global Child Nutrition Foundation, USA  
edavies@schoolnutrition.org

Mr. Stanley Cornelius Garnett  
Global Child Nutrition Foundation, USA  
sgarnett@schoolnutrition.org

Ms. Penny Elizabeth McConnell  
Global Child Nutrition Foundation, USA  
pemcconnell@fcps.edu

Ms. Lois Gene White  
Global Child Nutrition Foundation, USA  
wgw@whidbey.com

Ms. Vanya Tsutsui  
Centre of Excellence Against Hunger, BRAZIL  
vanya.tsutsui@wfp.org

Mr. Bahorov Azamjon  
World Food Programme, Tajikistan  
azam.bahorov@wfp.org

Mr. Sergio Fernando Torres Moreno  
World Food Programme, Bolivia  
sergio.torres@wfp.org

Ms. Eileen Jones Garnett  
Retired, USA  
esgarnett@cox.net

Dr. Janey Thornton  
United States Department of Agriculture, USA  
janey.thornton@osec.usda.gov

Mrs. Caúra Damasceno Alves Pinto  
Gabinete do Governador da Bahia, Brasil  
caura2006@gmail.com

Ms. Xinmin Zhao  
UN World Food Programme, Tajikistan  
xinmin.zhao@wfp.org

Mrs. Constance Chigwamba  
Ministry of Education, Sport

Mrs. Flavia Ferraz Lorenzon  
World Food Programme, Brasil  
flavia.lorenzon@wfp.org

Mr. Peter Rodrigues  
World Food Programme, Italy  
peter.rodrigues@wfp.org

Dr. Aboubacar Guindo  
World Food Programme, Mali  
aboubacar.guindo@wfp.org

Mr. Philippe Serge Degernier  
World Food Programme, South Africa  
philippe.serge.degernier@wfp.org

Mr. Daniel Sílvia Balaban  
Centre of Excellence Against Hunger, Brazil  
daniel.balaban@wfp.org

Mr. Jacques Roy  
World Food Programme, Cameroon  
jacques.roy@wfp.org

Mrs. Soraya Franco Gutierrez  
World Food Programme, Sao Tome & Principe  
soraya.franco@wfp.org

Dr. Donald A. P. Bundy  
World Bank, USA  
dbundy@worldbank.org

Mr. Diogenes Cravid Pires dos Santos  
United Nation World Food Programme (WFP), S. Tome and Principe  
diogenes.santos@wfp.org

Mrs. Isatou Cham  
World Food Programme, The Gambia  
isatou.nasircham@wfp.org

Mr. Marcio Kameoka  
WFP Centre of Excellence against Hunger, Brazil  
marcio.kameoka@wfp.org

Ms. Talismâ Nice Fero Gomes Dias  
WFP, Guine-Bissau  
talisma.dias@wfp.org

Prof. Alexandra do Nascimento Pascalo Vera Cruz  
Ministerio de Educação, Cultura e Formação, Sao Tome & Principe  
al_veracruz@hotmail.COM

Mr. Johann W Smalberger  
JAM International, South Africa  
johann.smalberger@jamint.com

Ms. Manuela Reinfeld  
World Food Programme, Iraq  
manuela.reinfeld@wfp.org

Dr. Lesley Drake  
Partnership for Child Development, Imperial College London, UK  
lesley.drake@imperial.ac.uk

Ms. Natasha Webster  
DuPont Nutrition & Health, USA  
nwebster@solaecom

Mr. Kanku Tshipoko John  
Ministry of education, Democratic Republic of Congo  
johnkanku2@hotmail.com

Mrs. Nepa Kabala Christine  
Ministere de L’Enseignement Primaire Secondaire Et Professionnel, Republique Democratique Du Congo  
nepanepakabala@yahoo.fr
Mr. S. Kent Holt  
DuPont Nutrition and Health, USA  
kholt@solae.com

Mr. Maker Mwangi Famba  
Ministry of Education, Democratic Republic of the Congo  
makeryvet@hotmail.com

Dr. John Mususa Ulimwengu  
Office of the Prime Minister, The Democratic Republic of Congo  
j.ulimwengu@cgiar.org

Mr. Carl Robert Becker  
JAM, Angola  
carl.becker@jamint.com

Ms. Carmen Burbano de Lara  
World Food Programme, Italy  
carmen.burbano@wfp.org

Mrs. Ulla Holm  
Tetra Laval Food for Development Office, Sweden  
ulla.holm@tetralaval.com

Dr. Manuel Espinoza  
Red de Alimentacion Escolar Para America Latina y El Caribe, Chile  
manuelespinoza@larae.org

Dr. Simbo Koly Keita  
SNV, Mali  
skeita@snworld.org

Mr. Israel Klug  
FAO- Food and Agriculture Organizations of the United Nations, Brazil  
israel.klug@fao.org

Ms. Antonio Zamora Barbosa  
WFP, Guine-Bissau  
antonio.barbosa@wfp.org

Dr. Manuel Gonga  
Joint Aid Management (JAM), Angola  
Manuel.Gonga@jamint.com

Dr. Ali Othman Badri Sindi  
Ministry of Planning, Iraq  
khzana11@yahoo.com

Mrs. Amanda Poldi  
Cargill Agrícola, Brasil  
amanda_poldi@cargill.com

Mrs. Kurbonova Rukiya  
Government of Tajikistan, Tajikistan  
manuchehr.aminov@wfp.org

Mrs. Bobokhodjoeva Lola  
Government of Tajikistan, Tajikistan  
malo@shabanova@wfp.org

Mrs. Sharipova Latofat  
Government of Tajikistan, Tajikistan  
gulchehra.usmoneva@wfp.org

Mr. Isonov Fathiddin  
Government of Tajikistan, Tajikistan  
saidamon.bodamaev@wfp.org

Ms. Brie Allison McMahon  
The Partnership for Child Development, Imperial College London, UK  
b.mcmahon@imperial.ac.uk

Mrs. Fati Bodua Seidu  
Procurement Governance for Home Grown School Feeding Program SNV The Netherlands Development Organization, Ghana  
fseidu@snworld.org

Mr. Gustavo Ribeiro Kneipp Salomon  
WFP, Brazil  
grksalomon@gmail.com

Mrs. Kátia Reis  
Fundação Cargill, Brasil  
katia_reis@cargill.com

Mr. Iain Alexander Gardiner  
The Partnership for Child Development Imperial College London, UK  
c.spencer@imperial.ac.uk

Ms. Ute Meir  
United Nations World Food Programme, Jordan  
ute.meir@wfp.org

Dr. Asaad Neamah Munshed Al-Badri  
World Food Programme, UN, Iraq  
asaad.manshad@wfp.org

Ms. Carol Montenegro Arauz  
WFP, Panama  
carol.montenegro@wfp.org

Mr. Jair Antonio Meneguelli  
Conselho Nacional do Sesi, Brasil  
jair.meneguelli@sesi.org.br

Dr. Mohammed Ali Mohammed  
Ministry of Education of Iraq, Iraq  
asaad_manshad1@yahoo.com

Mr. Haitham Hatem Noori  
Ministry of Education of Iraq, Iraq  
asaad_manshad18@yahoo.com

Mr. Robert Kenneth K. Sichinga  
Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock, Zambia  
rkshinga@yahoo.com

Ms. Arlene Mitchell  
The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, USA  
arlene.mitchell@gatesfoundation.org

Mr. Kelvin Glen  
The Tiger Brands Foundation, South Africa  
kelvin.glen@tigerbrands.com

Mrs. Sharon de Freitas  
Centre of Excellence Against Hunger, Brasil  
sharon.freitas@wfp.org

Dr. Nadia Tavares Goodman  
WFP, Brazil  
nadia.goodman@wfp.org

Mrs. Christina Gagliardi  
PCI, USA  
cgagliardi@pciglobal.org

Mr. Nadhir Jameel Ismael  
Directorate of Education/Nineveh/ Ministry of Education, Iraq  
Natheer63@yahoo.com

Mr. Daniel Mumuni  
the Partnership for Child Development, Imperial College London, The Netherlands  
d.mumuni@hgsf-global.org

Mr. Simon Cammelbeeck  
United Nations World Food Programme, Zambia  
simon.cammelbeeck@wfp.org

Ms. Charlotte Bryd  
The Partnership for Child Development, Imperial College London, UK  
charlotte.bryd@imperial.ac.uk

Mr. James E. Hersey  
American Soybean Association, USA  
wishh@soy.org

Mr. Sherwan Qadir  
Ministry of Planning, Iraq  
sherwan52003@yahoo.com

Mr. Mauricio Burtet  
UN World Food Programme, Italia  
mauricio.burtet@wfp.org

Mr. Kondwani Gondwe  
Ministry Of Agriculture and Livestock, Zambia  
kondwani@gondwe@hotmail.co.uk

Dr. John Tox Phiri  
Ministry of Education, Zambia  
phirijtn@yahoo.com

Mr. Robert Kenneth K. Sichinga  
Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock, Zambia  
rkshinga@yahoo.com

Ms. Arlene Mitchell  
The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, USA  
arlene.mitchell@gatesfoundation.org

Mr. Kelvin Glen  
The Tiger Brands Foundation, South Africa  
kelvin.glen@tigerbrands.com

Mrs. Sharon de Freitas  
Centre of Excellence Against Hunger, Brasil  
sharon.freitas@wfp.org

Dr. Nadia Tavares Goodman  
WFP, Brazil  
nadia.goodman@wfp.org

Mrs. Christina Gagliardi  
PCI, USA  
cgagliardi@pciglobal.org

Mr. Nadhir Jameel Ismael  
Directorate of Education/Nineveh/ Ministry of Education, Iraq  
Natheer63@yahoo.com
Mr. Jose Antonio Castillo
World Food Programme, Panama
jose.castillo@wfp.org

Ms. Fernanda Pessim Cassiano
ABC/MRE, BRASIL
fernanda.cassiano@abc.gov.br

Mrs. Domina Michael Kambarangwe
United Nations- World Food Programme,
Tanzania
domina.kambarangwe@wfp.org

Mr. Gaudence Sindayigaya
Burundi Embassy in Brazil, Brazil
ambaburundibrasilia@gmail.com

Mr. Aly Ndiaye
PAIS Consultoria em Agroecologia LTDA, Brasil
alypais@gmail.com

Prof. Maria José Pinheiro
Serviço Social da Indústria, Brazil
cozinhabrasil-ce@sfiec.org.br

Ms. Luciana Maira Batista
Serviço Social da Indústria, Brazil
luciana.maira@sesipr.org.br

Mr. Nelson Joaquim da Conceição
Education Science and Technology Benguela,
Angola
chevonne.keizer@jamint.com

Prof. Fernanda Maria de Brito Leitão Marques
Vera Cruz Pinto
Ministério da Educação e Desporto de Cabo
Verde, Cabo Verde
fernandanam.marques@govcv.gov.cv

Prof. Teresa de Jesus de Fátima Delgado Lima
Ministério da Educação e Desporto de Cabo
Verde, Cabo Verde
teresa.lima@palgov.gov.cv